



Passenger Information Systems

Viktor Hollmann, Škoda Transportation
ICT on Trains Workshop, Prague, 21.10. 2011

Discussed Issues

- ▶ UIC 556 leaflet and current needs of PIS
- ▶ Present situation
- ▶ Demand for new standard
- ▶ Networks for PIS
- ▶ Framework for unified PIS standard
- ▶ Unified PIS standard issues

UIC 556 and Personal Information Systems

- ▶ Railways are very conservative
 - ▶ Nice for safety, bad for new IS services
- ▶ Lowest priority for PISs
 - ▶ Just a framework for PISs
 - ▶ Solid background for PIS application missing
 - ▶ Rail companies PIS requirements not covered
- ▶ Is any PIS based on UIC556 leaflet only?
 - ▶ Not found...

UIC556 and CD's PIS requirements

- ▶ Route of train journey (9.1)
 - ▶ Next station stop (9.3)
 - ▶ Train connections in the next station (9.4)
 - ▶ Advertising (9.5)
 - ▶ ... That's all
 - ▶ Unicode16 issue
 - ▶ 36 information fields
 - ▶ Some mandatory
 - ▶ Regional/long distance trains
 - ▶ Control field required
 - ▶ MP3 and XML required
-
- ▶ UIC556 is not sufficient for inf. systems

National & vendor protocols

- ▶ Created ad-hoc protocols for PISs
- ▶ No collaboration among RCs
- ▶ No interoperability among different PISs
- ▶ PIS protocols like „vendor lock“
 - ▶ RC are intended to use „first PIS“ compatible
 - ▶ PIS protocols are closed...
 - ▶ And different country by country...
- ▶ It is time to set standard for PIS

Situation around new PIS standard

- ▶ Is the new unified standard necessary?
 - ▶ RIC cars vs. trainsets
- ▶ Who will benefit from new PIS standard?
 - ▶ Passangers, transport companies, car producers or PIS producers...
- ▶ What communication layer for PIS?
 - ▶ WTB, Ethernet, some new technology...

Is the new PIS standard necessary?

- ▶ Trainsets x RIC cars
 - ▶ RIC trains are more flexible
 - ▶ RIC cars can extend the TS capacity
 - ▶ New Train companies on market
- ▶ Special cars (like sleeping cars)
 - ▶ Can be attached to different trains during journey
 - ▶ Acoustic information are not comfortable for passangers
- ▶ **We need a PIS standard**

PIS communication standard benefits

- ▶ **Passangers**
 - ▶ Same structure of information
- ▶ **Rail companies**
 - ▶ Car usage flexibility
 - ▶ Same standard of passanger services
- ▶ **Car producers**
 - ▶ Easier construction
- ▶ **PIS producers**
 - ▶ Opportunities (or threat for someones)

Networks for PIS

- ▶ **Wire Train Bus**
 - ▶ WTB is only communication for PIS today
 - ▶ Designed for train control
 - ▶ PIS data „as a guest“
- ▶ **Ethernet Train Bus**
 - ▶ PIS has no critical data
 - ▶ Allows new functions for PIS (infotainment)
- ▶ **Technology development (FftT?)**
- ▶ **PIS standard should be universal and network independent**

New PIS standard framework

- ▶ Open standard
 - ▶ Both international and national parts
- ▶ Interoperable standard
 - ▶ WTB, Ethernet, ...
- ▶ PIS standard workgroup
 - ▶ Rail companies, car & PIS producers
 - ▶ Is this collaboration possible?

Unified PIS standard issues

- ▶ Collecting of current national protocols
 - ▶ Information structures, occupied E-Telegrams
 - ▶ Data consolidation
- ▶ PIS Standard design
 - ▶ Mandatory/Optional data
 - ▶ Common/National data
 - ▶ Stable/Experimental data

Unified PIS standard issues II

- ▶ Support for new passenger systems functions
 - ▶ Internet access
 - ▶ Infotainment
 - ▶ Commercial presentations
- ▶ Validation & Adoption
 - ▶ Technical & Business issues
 - ▶ Are major RC ready to support new PIS standard?

Conclusions

- ▶ No applicable standard for PIS in UIC 556 today
 - ▶ Cars of two rail companies cannot share PIS data
 - ▶ Limited flexibility of car usage
- ▶ New standard for PIS design
 - ▶ Network independent (WTB, Ethernet...)
 - ▶ Ready to apply
 - ▶ Mandatory set of basic information
 - ▶ Extendable
- ▶ **Rail companies support is critical**



Thank you for your attention Questions & Answers

I have a dream..

...about unified PIS communication

Viktor Hollmann, viktor.hollmann@skoda.cz